1	NOMINATION OF WILLIAM J. BOARMAN
2	TO BE THE PUBLIC PRINTER
3	
4	TUESDAY, MAY 25, 2010
5	
6	
7	
8	United States Senate,
9	Committee on Rules and Administration,
LO	Washington, D.C.
L1	
L2 L3	The Committee met, pursuant to notice, at 10:06 a.m., in Room SR-301, Russell Senate
L 4	Office Building, Hon. Charles E. Schumer, Chairman of the Committee, presiding.
L5	Present: Senators Schumer, Warner, and Bennett.
L 6	Staff Present: Jean Bordewich, Staff Director; Jennifer Griffith, Deputy Staff Director;
L7	Veronica Gillespie, Elections Counsel; Julia Richardson, Counsel; Lauryn Bruck, Professional
L8	Staff; Lynden Armstrong, Chief Clerk; Matthew McGowan, Professional Staff; Justin Perkins,
L 9	Staff Assistant; Mary Jones, Republican Staff Director; Shaun Parkin, Republican Deputy Staff
20	Director; Paul Vinovich, Republican Chief Counsel; Michael Merrell, Republican Counsel; Abbie
21	Platt, Republican Professional Staff; Trish Kent, Republican Professional Staff; and Rachel
22	Creviston, Republican Professional Staff.
23	OPENING STATEMENT OF CHAIRMAN SCHUMER
24	Chairman Schumer. Okay. Good morning, and I want to thank my colleagues here
25	apologize for being lateand thank our witness here today. I want to thank my colleague on
26	this Committee, Senator Warner; he is going to Chair the remainder of the hearing, and I

appreciate him doing that, because I had a previous commitment, but we wanted to move this on. And, of course, I want to thank Senator Bennett always.

The hearing will come to order. I would like to welcome everybody, including our Ranking Member, Senator Bennett, and Senator Warner, and especially our nominee, William "Bill" Boarman. The Government Printing Office was created by the Printing Act in 1860 for the production and distribution of information products and services for all three branches of the Federal Government. GPO opened its doors on March 4, 1861. That is the same day President Lincoln became the 16th President of the United States. In fact, next year is the GPO's 150th anniversary.

Today the Public Printer employs 2,400 staff, manages annual revenue of \$1 billion.

From the earliest days of the Nation, congressional leaders recognized the need for printed documents to assist both chambers in Congress in communicating with the American public.

James Madison cited in his notes of the Federal Convention of 1787 the delegates' concern over the Government's responsibility to inform the citizenry when he wrote, "It should not be in the option of the legislature to conceal their proceedings." This is the GPO's primary mission: "Keeping America informed."

GPO produces the Nation's most important Government information products, such as the Congressional Record and Federal Register. Both are produced at the GPO's main plant in Washington. However, nearly 60 percent of the printing the GPO manages for the Federal Government is procured through private sector vendors throughout the country. On a daily

basis, the agency maintains between 600 and 1,000 print-related projects through a longstanding partnership with America's printing industry.

Congress is dependent upon the ability of the GPO to provide printed and electronic versions of our legislative documents and the Congressional Record in a timely manner. With the ever increasing workload of Congress and our demanding schedules, the agency needs to continue to provide the necessary resources to meet our legislative demands so that we can carry out our duties as mandated by the Constitution and governed by the rules of both Houses of Congress.

The Public Printer faces diverse and pressing challenges in the upcoming years, which I will not list here, but we know what they are. And now, if confirmed, Mr. Boarman would be the 26th Public Printer. He is a practical printer by trade. He began his career by serving a 4-year union apprenticeship at the McArdle Printing Company in Washington, D.C. Following completion of his apprenticeship, he worked in a number of local establishments. In 1974, he went to work for the GPO. In 1977, he took a leave of absence from the GPO after being elected a full-time union official. He has continued a professional relationship with the GPO that spanned more than 30 years.

Over the years, he has testified on GPO matters on a number of occasions before our Committee and before the Joint Committee on Printing. Since 1989, he served as president of the Printing, Publishing, and Media Workers Sector of the CWA, Communications Workers of America, and president of the International Allied Printing Trades Association.

Mr. Boarman has served as Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the CWA/ITU-negotiated pension plan, CWA Pension Plan Canada, and Executive Board of the Council of Institutional Investors. So we are fortunate to have a nominee of Mr. Boarman's caliber and experience for this important post, and I look forward to your testimony, sir.

I call on Bob Bennett.

OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR BENNETT

Senator Bennett. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. My connection with the GPO goes back to the time when I chaired the Leg. Branch Subcommittee of the Appropriations

Committee and went down to the GPO and spent time with the Public Printer, went through the plant, got an understanding of how big an operation this really is. And since that introduction of the GPO, I have seen it change. I have seen it grow. The number of its employees has gone dramatically as its productivity has gone up dramatically, which is a pattern that we see throughout American business generally.

So, Mr. Boarman, we welcome you here, recognize that you will be taking on a very significant management challenge, and I simply want to take the opportunity to thank all of those who have gone before you, not just the Public Printers but all of the GPO employees who have worked so tirelessly on behalf of the Congress and the executive branch. This is an agency, as the Chairman indicated, that serves more than one branch of Government, even though the funding does come through the Congress.

So it is very important that we continue the innovation, the change, the pattern of

keeping up with the times that has been established in the last decade, and I look forward to hearing what plans you have to do that, and I am happy to welcome you to the Committee.

Mr. Boarman. Thank you, sir.

Senator Bennett. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Warner. [Presiding.] Thank you, Senator Bennett.

We have been joined by my colleague and friend, Senator Cardin from Maryland, and I know he is here for the purpose of introduction. I will simply add one point. Mr. Boarman, if confirmed, taking on this position is of particular interest to both Senator Cardin and me since so many GPO employees live in both of our States. I know you reside on the other side of the river, but this is an organization that has a great deal of effect right here in the national capital area, and I am very pleased that my friend and colleague, Senator Cardin, is here for the purpose of introduction.

Senator Cardin.

STATEMENT OF HON. BENJAMIN L. CARDIN, A UNITED STATES SENATOR FROM THE STATE OF

101 MARYLAND

Senator Cardin. Senator Warner, thank you very much. Senator Bennett, thank you for your attention to these issues. I am not going to repeat everything that Senator Schumer has said, but I do want to welcome my constituent, Bill Boarman, to this Committee and strongly recommend his approval, his confirmation.

Bill Boarman has been a lifelong resident of Maryland. He currently resides in Severna

Park. And from the beginning of his career as a pre-apprentice at the McArdle Printing

Company in Washington, D.C., in 1966, Mr. Boarman has devoted his life to the printing

industry and protecting the workers within the printing industry. As has been pointed out, he

has worked his way up to the senior vice president of CWA, and he has emerged as an icon,

quite frankly, in the printing industry. He is so well qualified for this position. He is an

expert. He is well respected by the workers at the Government Printing Office, and, Senator

Warner, as you pointed out, many of those workers live in Maryland and live in Virginia, and we

have heard nothing but praise as to the selection of Mr. Boarman to lead this agency.

Beginning in 1974, Mr. Boarman spent some of his early years as a career journeyman printer in the United States Government Printing Office, so he has the experience. He worked his way up, as I said, in the unions. He has been involved in every aspect and has been called upon by us as an expert in this area. We rely upon Mr. Boarman's views.

He has been an informal adviser to the leadership at the Government Printing Office.

He has been called upon many times to help out, and now we have the opportunity to have him as our director. He is so well qualified. He has the leadership that is needed to bring us into the issues that Senator Bennett has raised dealing with new technologies and the new needs within the printing field.

So I am proud to recommend him, and I am proud that he is a fellow Marylander.

Senator Warner. Thank you, Senator Cardin. Of course, you are welcome to stay, but I know time constraints may mean that you have got to move on.

I know as well that Senator Mikulski was hoping to be here. Scheduling prohibited her, but she has got a statement of introduction as well.

[The prepared statement of Senator Mikulski inserted into the record]

Senator Warner. At some point along the way, we may be joined by our friend Steny Hoyer from the other side of the body. And if he comes in, we will interrupt your testimony, Mr. Boarman, to let him make his opening comments.

So, with that, I would ask the nominee to stand and raise his right hand? Do you swear that the testimony you are to provide is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Boarman. I do.

Senator Warner. Please be seated. Now, Mr. Boarman, if you would like to go ahead and make an opening statement. I know you have got friends and colleagues around you. If you want to make any introductions to the Committee, please feel free to do so. Then we are anxious to hear your statement.

TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM J. BOARMAN, OF MARYLAND, TO BE THE PUBLIC PRINTER

Mr. Boarman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Senator Bennett, for holding this hearing today. I fully appreciate how busy each of you are with the important work of the Senate, and I am honored by your presence here today for my hearing.

I want to thank Senator Cardin for his wonderful introduction. I hope that Congressman

Hoyer will be able to make it. Both of these gentlemen have a record of standing up for the

citizens of Maryland, and I am proud that they wanted to be here for me today. It is an honor.

Mr. Chairman, I would like to introduce a few very special people that are here with me today. First, my daughter, Lauren, who is sitting right behind me. Lauren is my only daughter and looks after me with the love and support that only a daughter can offer.

I would like to mention my son, Christopher--I think he is watching this right now. He could not be here because he is teaching a spring course at Berkeley where he is finishing his Ph.D. in medical anthropology.

Also, I am honored to introduce my colleague and friend for the last 22 years, Larry Cohen, the president of the Communication Workers of America. He is sitting right behind me, and he also is my boss.

And, finally, a young man whose grandfather happens to be my very good friend, and his name is Chase Lawson. He is sitting over here. Chase is a junior at Mount St. Joe's in Baltimore, and he is very interested in government and politics, and I invited him here today so that he could get a first-class civics lesson on the advice-and-consent role of the U.S. Senate as prescribed by the Constitution. So welcome, Chase.

Let me begin by saying I am deeply honored by the President's nomination to be the Public Printer of the United States. For a practical printer by trade, there is no higher post in the Nation than as head of the GPO, with its distinguished tradition of supplying the printing and information needs of the Federal Government for almost 150 years.

I have been a printer for more than 40 years. I learned my trade in the private sector and

worked in several large commercial printing plants and in two large daily newspapers. As was stated, I was appointed to the GPO in the 1970s as a printer and left the GPO for a full-time job with my union. Now, since 1989, I have served as president of the Printing, Publishing, and Media Workers Sector of CWA.

But while at the GPO, I worked to achieve practical agreements with management that opened the door to technological change and saved jobs. And during my career with CWA, I have worked toward the same objective, establishing a proven track record of cooperation and achievement in labor-management relations in the printing and publishing industry.

I have also served in a number of executive capacities for a variety of boards and councils and have served for the last 21 years as chairman of the board of the CWA/ITU-negotiated pension plan, and as president of the Union Printers Home Corporation, which is a retirement community our union runs in Colorado Springs, Colorado.

Now, from executive decision making to fund management and controlling costs to making payroll, I have had a broad range of management experience that I hope you will agree has equipped me to lead the GPO, if I am confirmed.

The GPO today is a substantially different agency compared with the one that I left many years ago. It employs fewer employees but is significantly more technologically advanced. However, one thing has not changed: The GPO continues to employ an extraordinarily talented group of men and women who every day carry out their responsibilities with unmatched expertise and dedication. If there is any one asset that best characterizes the

GPO, it is the superb quality of the people who work there.

I think the GPO has made progress in recent years in improving functions it carries out.

If confirmed, I look forward to continuing with that progress and to ensure that what the GPO strives to accomplish in the coming years will contribute measurably to the distinguished record of service it has compiled over the past 150 years.

Finally, on a personal note, I have had the high honor and privilege to have been consulted by and testified before some of the true giants of the Senate in days gone by. In just this Committee, I have had close personal relationships with former Chairman: Claiborne Pell, Charles McC Mathias, Mark Hatfield, Wendell Ford, and John Warner. Each of these men have sought my counsel and judgment on matters that relate to the GPO. Likewise, I have worked with almost every Public Printer since the Nixon administration to stand beside them on matters that had grave importance to the agency as it changed and evolved from administration to administration and Congress to Congress.

I believe it was these unique relationships and experience that the White House focused on when they asked me to serve the President and accept the nomination as the 26th Public Printer of the United States. I truly believe that all of this background and 35 years' involvement with the GPO will serve me well if I am confirmed by the Senate as the next Public Printer. I am ready and willing to serve if I can get your support.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I would be happy to answer any questions that you and Senator Bennett may have of me at this time.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Boarman was submitted for the record]

Senator Warner. Well, thank you, Mr. Boarman. Thank you for your testimony, and I look forward to getting to questions in a moment.

Let me just add for the benefit of Chase, you know, you are also getting something rather unusual in today's hearing. Not only are you going to get to see your friend Mr.

Boarman testify and the Majority Leader of the House of Representatives come all the way over to the Senate to make an introduction, but you are also getting something particularly unusual in a hearing of this nature. As a new Senator, I usually sit way, way down there on the end, and it is a special time that I get to actually sit up here in the big chair. So there will be people in this room making sure that I do not mess up as well.

But recognizing before we get to my questions that we have been joined by

Representative Hoyer, the Majority Leader of the House to make an introduction as well, I will

call on my good friend Steny Hoyer.

STATEMENT OF HON. STENY HOYER, A REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS FROM THE STATE OF

MARYLAND

Mr. Hoyer. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and I am pleased to see you in the exalted seat of the chairmanship. I know it is tough, having been a Governor and running the place, to come and sit at the end of the line. So this is a change.

I want to say I am particularly pleased to be here with Senator Bob Bennett. I think

Senator Bennett is one of the outstanding Members of the Congress. He is a Senator who has

served with the public's interest uppermost in his mind, with a view towards making democracy work, not simply having confrontation but sitting down at the table and trying to make democracy work.

Senator Bennett, I want to say I am one Democrat who is going to be very sorry to see you leave the Senate. I think it is a loss for Utah. I think it is a loss for the Nation. You represented, I think, and continue to represent the kind of Member of the Senate and the House that the American public wants to see. It is unfortunate that a very narrow band of people in both of our parties think that what we need is confrontation, not collaboration. The country will be lesser for your loss, and I want to thank you for your service.

Senator Bennett. Thank you very much, and I would remind you it is Mr. Boarman's hearing and not mine.

[Laughter.]

Senator Bennett. Thank you.

Mr. Hoyer. Well, and I will not vote on confirming you or not confirming you, so I will know my proper role.

Mr. Chairman, I am here to--and I will submit a statement for the record--just briefly to say that I have known Bill Boarman for a very long period of time. He and his wife are good friends, so I am not objective on his nomination. But as you have seen from previous testimony--I presume Senator Cardin was here and Senator Mikulski as well, probably--we have all known him very well, not only his expertise that the Public Printer will have to deal with, but

also his judgment, his wise counsel has been, as he said, for many of us throughout my tenure in the Congress of the United States, which now I am in my 30th year, has been extraordinarily well received and important. And I think the administration has made an excellent choice for the Public Printer. I think he will work well with the people who work at GPO. I think he understands the necessity to look to make a solid business model work for the GPO. I know he has talked about that in his statement.

So I will not belabor the point other than to say that I am strongly in support of his nomination. I think he will serve our country well and serve the Government Printing Office well and serve the Congress well. And I urge his confirmation, and thank you for this opportunity to appear.

[The prepared statement of Mr. Hoyer was submitted for the record]

Senator Warner. Thank you, Leader Hoyer.

Mr. Hoyer. Also, I will vote to confirm Senator Bennett.

260 [Laughter.]

Senator Warner. I am glad you said that after the convention instead of before.

Mr. Boarman, again, thank you for your testimony. And, Representative Hoyer, thank you for your statement. Obviously, from your background you are a printer's printer, a great background, varied and the kind of experience across the board in terms of all the aspects of the challenge that the President has selected you for.

I have got a couple of questions, though, before we get to Senator Bennett. I am sure this

body will confirm you, but, you know, printing is going through as much transformation as any industry that is around.

I wanted to start my questioning, though, with--you know, traditionally, the GPO has had a unique role in terms of the relationship kind of as a public-private entity with, my understanding, nearly 60 percent of the GPO printing activities are actually procured through private contracts, and I think on a daily basis that may mean between 600 and 1,000 print projects a day are managed in this ongoing relationship.

With the changing nature of the business, how do you see that business relationship between the GPO and the various private sector printers around who the agency employs?

Do you see that changing? Do you see it continuing? What is your sense of the business model going forward?

Mr. Boarman. Well, thank you, Senator. The GPO relies heavily on the private sector in order to do the work of the Government. The main printing plant is set up primarily to do the overnight, quick-turnaround work that the Congress and the President demand. It is really not set up for the kind of work that we procure through our procurement program. So we are heavily dependent on the private sector to support that program. I think we contract somewhere over \$500 million worth of work through the GPO procurement program. And we hope to get more, because the law requires all agencies to use the Government Printing Office. Unfortunately, there was a dust-up about 10 years ago about separation of powers. Since GPO is a legislative branch agency, some Assistant Attorney General wrote a memo suggesting

that they did not have to use GPO. As a result, GPO has lost some of its business, even though the law is very clear, Title 44, that they must procure their work through the Government Printing Office.

But I think, you know, my approach to this would be rather than arguing about whether it is a separation of powers issue--because I think that is ridiculous, but obviously a judge would have to decide that--let these agencies give GPO a chance to procure the work for them, bring more business in.

I believe that the procurement program at GPO is the best price execution that money can buy and that we can get the job done quicker, better, and at the lowest cost for the taxpayers if we are given the chance. And I think that the agencies that use us would stand up here today and say the same thing, that that is exactly right.

So as Public Printer, what I would do is to try to go out and interact with the agencies that are not using us to try to bring more work into GPO because I think it is the right way to do it. The procurement program is overseen by the IG. To my knowledge there has never been any fraud or investigation there. And it is best price execution, and it creates jobs in the private sector when we have contracted out, and it basically goes to small mom-and-pop shops who get this work. There are big printers in there, like Donnelly and others, who get that work, but many of the people in our program are just small printers who depend on this work. So I just think the relationship will continue. I think it will grow, and I look forward to working with the private sector on this to help it grow.

Senator Warner. One of the questions, I think one of the unique aspects you are going to bring to this job is you have kind of seen it from every angle. You have worked at the GPO; you have obviously been involved in the labor movement; you have seen it from the workforce standpoint; you have obviously advanced your career into the management sector as well. You know, but with the challenges as more and more documents are viewed in electronic form, when we see increasing pricing pressure across the printing industry and across Government as a whole, I would like you to speak for a moment about how you are going to balance the needs of workforce versus technology versus the price constraints that the Government is under and how perhaps your background might suit you for that challenge.

Mr. Boarman. Well, I have been advised by the current political leadership and the professional leadership at GPO that next year GPO will actually be in a deficit position. So it is not a great place to start from, but it is what it is.

You know, I come from a background of, unfortunately, inheriting organizations that seem to have deficit problems, and I have had success in dealing with those. My president, Larry Cohen, and I inherited a huge deficit when he took over CWA. I think it was somewhere in the neighborhood of about \$18 million. And we did it the old-fashioned way. We did not use gimmicky and auditors' gimmicks. You know, we made the hard choices that had to be made in order to get our budget in line, and today it is in line.

Likewise, with the nursing home that I run, when I took it over, it had a \$1.5 million-a-year deficit. Today it is operating in the black, has \$2 million in the bank, and, you

know, probably makes about \$600,000 a year. Again, we had to make hard choices.

For GPO, fortunately they have two streams of income. One is the appropriations. Now,

most likely that is going to be flat. I think the Public Printer asked for \$167 million, but I think

it is more likely to be in the area of \$140, \$147 million.

So the other stream is customers, bringing new business in, and we now have this program, the SID, security and intelligent documents, where we print the passports but we also do something called smart cards, and we are doing it for a number of Government agencies. It has a chip in it, and it is absolutely secure, Government-to-Government relationship. So we need to build that business to try to offset some of the losses that are going to come as a result of less printing, less ink on paper, and more digitization. And I believe we can do that.

I met with the directors and I have been briefed by all of the top-level folks at GPO, and I have to tell you that I was very, very impressed with the SID program. And I think it is something that Congress should support. I think they should encourage the security agencies of our Nation to take a look at GPO, give us a chance to do these ID cards for all these different agencies, because I think we can show that the security with us Government to Government is a much better way to go.

So there is a lot of opportunity there. I am going to start out, I think, with a deficit, but I am used to that, and I have got some ideas to deal with it, and I look forward to the challenge.

Senator Warner. I have got a couple more questions, but if I ever hope to get close back to this short of being here for 20 years, I will not go into Senator Bennett's time. Senator

Bennett?

Senator Bennett. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Boarman, I will give you an opportunity to deal with the issue that has come up very recently, your campaign contribution to Mr. Halter. Here is the chance for you to describe how that came about and how you realized you needed to send us the letter that you did, and we are grateful to have received it, whether there was any union efforts, CWA efforts, and you have involvement in that in any way that the Committee needs to know about. Can you go through that whole situation for us and lay it out?

Mr. Boarman. Well, I can tell you what I know. I was asked if I would consider giving a contribution to the lieutenant governor who was running for the Senate, a \$250 contribution, and I agreed to do that, and I actually did it online. Someone sent me an e-mail from the campaign, and I went on, and in about 5 minutes' time, I plugged in with my bank card and made the \$250 contribution. It completely passed through my mind because it happened so quick, and I did not really have a receipt that I remember. It was not in my checkbook. I heard about it--someone mentioned it in an article yesterday that I had made a \$250 contribution, and I went, "What is this about?" And then I had to go back and think about it.

So I went to the Federal Election Commission site to see when I made it, because I could not remember, and it was March 17th of 2010 I made the contribution, and I immediately wrote the Committee a letter, since I had unknowingly omitted that from the statement, the questionnaire that I sent up here, on which I had listed other contributions that I have made.

That is all I can tell you. I was not involved in any bundling that I have been accused of. I had nothing to do with any of that. I made a \$250 contribution.

Senator Bennett. As you think about it, have there been other contributions you have been asked to do, presumably by the union, that you said, okay, well, I will take care of that, and you go online and do that? Or is this a single experience?

Mr. Boarman. I do not think so, Senator, because most of us contribute through our union COPE program, and they take out an amount of money from our check every 2 weeks, and it goes into our political action committee. That is--

Senator Bennett. So your contributions have been to the PAC.

Mr. Boarman. Yes, yes. I cannot ever remember doing this any other time.

Senator Bennett. Okay, thank you. I appreciate getting that--

Mr. Boarman. That is all I can tell you.

Senator Bennett. Okay. You have indicated that you get over \$500 million from the private sector, and, yes, it is Donnelly at one end and then the mom-and-pop operation at the other. I think in all probability the mom-and-pop operations that you refer to do not pay union prevailing wages. Are you going to require that they pay union prevailing wages in order to deal with the GPO? Or will you take their bid without digging into their own internal compensation plan?

Mr. Boarman. I have no plans to change the current system. I think it would be unlawful to impose union conditions for printing. I do not know that there is any law that

requires you could do that, and the program works perfectly. I believe that the union printers could compete in this arena if they chose to do that. And I do not think there would be any need to do that. So the answer is no, I do not have any plans to change what we are doing. We do it too well. It is just it has been in place for so long, and I think in order for me to be successful to attract the work that I talked to Senator Warner about from other agencies, I cannot mess around with a program that works. I mean, I am convinced, from meeting with the printing procurement people, that they know what they are doing. They know how to reach out to the customers. They know how to address their needs. They know how to take care of the issues. If there is a billing issue, there is a special person that deals with that to make sure that, you know, they are not overbilled and, if we do overbill them, that we refund them.

I have no plans to change what I consider one of the finest procurement programs in the Government.

Senator Bennett. All right. In that vein, then, let us talk about the structure. It is currently structured into distinct business unit, such as security and intelligence documents where the passports and other secure credentials are produced, and then plant operations, publications, information sales, print procurement and so on, and each of these business units has its own P&L, and accounting on a monthly basis to spend it through expenses, contributions, and so on.

This allows a degree of transparency in each unit so that you know exactly what is being

earned and what is being spent in each one. And there is some concern that if they all got lumped together, some of the transparency might disappear.

Do you have any feeling about how you will deal with these four separate units?

Mr. Boarman. Well, I do not have any plans to change the way the transparency is flowing. I think that is the best way to do it. I think I told you in our meeting that we had the other day that one of the things that concerned me was that the CFO was so far down the chain in the scheme of things at GPO. In all the organizations that I have headed, the CFO has been right beside me and someone I count on to tell me the truth about, you know, how much do we have, what is the cash flow, you know, what is outstanding, what is coming in, what is the auditor saying.

I think that relationship between the chief executive officer and the CFO is very important. So that is something that I would look at to hopefully bring the CFO closer to me so that I could keep my handle on the dollars, especially as it appears we are going to be entering a deficit situation.

But, no, I think the transparency that you talked about is fine. I think the way they have separated the businesses is--it gives them a chance, especially the SID program. They were part of operations at one point, and I think doing pretty well. But now that they are separate and there is more security, I think the opportunity for SID to grow and to attract more businesses from maybe NSA or from other agencies that do security work--we do some Homeland Security products--it is much better set aside. As I understand it, all the people in

the SID area have the necessary security clearances. We have the necessary guards to keep the place safe from people getting in and getting their hands on passports, which could be a disaster.

So if we can protect the passports for the State Department, I believe we could do the same thing for every agency of Government. So I think those separate business units are working well, and I would continue that.

Senator Bennett. Thank you.

Mr. Boarman. Thank you, sir.

Senator Bennett. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Warner. Let me follow up on the line that Senator Bennett has raised. One of the things that I saw in your background, Mr. Boarman, that impressed me was the fact that you have been involved with operations, as you mentioned earlier, where you would come in and there was a deficit circumstance and you, from a good business perspective, brought it back up into a more positive balance. Clearly, with the changing nature of printing and with the notion that, should you move forward in this position—and I hope you will—the GPO will be in a deficit position to start with. I want to simply give you a chance to reemphasize again that coming into that deficit circumstance, recognizing that you have got a series of private contracts out there, recognizing that you have got Government enterprises that have other choices, if you are going to increase GPO's market share, you are going to have to be price competitive to do that, and that means if there are good working relationships with your

private sector partners, regardless of the make-up of their workforce, you are not going to do anything to cut back on GPO's competitiveness in the marketplace. I would like you to expound on that a bit.

Mr. Boarman. No, absolutely not. I think the relationship with the printing industry and the contractors--there are a couple different groups that represent these folks that work with us--is a good one, and I look forward to meeting with these folks after confirmation and creating that bond even stronger and let them know that we count on them for what they do. We cannot do it alone. We have to have these private contractors. And it is just good Government to send as much as we can to the private sector.

I read some statistics somewhere in a publication that GPO had cut back on procurement, but I checked into that with the professionals at GPO, and they tell me that the amount of work that was contracted out last year is the same percentage that it had been in previous years.

Now, if someone counted the SID stuff, which does not go out, it has to be the passport work and the smart cards, which is done in-house, if they included that in part of it, I think you could skew the numbers. But the printing that we normally contract out will continue, and we hope to build on that by getting more agencies to come with us and using the GPO.

Again, give us a chance, let us prove that we can do the best price execution. You can always go back to the printer that you had if we do not do the job we think we can do. I am absolutely convinced that we are going to save the taxpayers money because we can do it

cheaper.

Senator Warner. In terms of workforce, let me ask you a question there. Again, we see in the newspaper business it is going through a dramatic transition. The printing business is going through a dramatic transition. The whole notion of ink on page and printing books or the traditional periodical is being transformed as we move to more electronic media.

How both within--and I imagine in terms of your contracting you can find that new expertise, but within the GPO itself, how do you make sure that your workforce stays current? How do you make sure that, both from a technology standpoint and from a workforce development standpoint, you are truly training the public printers of the 21st century and not of the 20th century?

Mr. Boarman. Well, that is going to be a challenge because I have been advised by the human capital people in the briefings that I have had that we have an aging workforce, and that it is going to become a critical issue over the next few years. And some of these people have extraordinary skills that, if we let them go out the door without transferring that to someone else, we could have a catastrophe.

You know, when the Congress of the United States decides to stay in late at night and legislate, it is not an immaculate conception that creates the Congressional Record that comes to the Hill every day along with the bills and hearings. It is the people that I talked about in my opening statement who know exactly what they have to do every night and every day when they come in there, and they know they have to come in, whether it is snowing or whatever, to

get the Record out. If Congress is in, we are in.

Now, I think that we can be very competitive in terms of attracting the kind of people that we need to the GPO. I think the rates of pay and I think the benefits and the security of working at the GPO is a very attractive package for young people who are pursuing a career in printing and publishing, whether they are in a technical college or whether they are at RIT or they are working in the private sector as a printer, like I did.

I think we need to develop a program to go out and recruit these people to replace the people that we know we are going to lose over the next 4 or 5 years. I think that what I would do right away is have human capital provide me with a critical list of the people that are going to retire, and then I think I would sit down with the line supervisors that know these individuals and say--to tell me which one of these have skills that we need to find out and have them work with someone so that when they leave we do not lose that information, we do not lose that institutional memory that they have.

So that is what I have been thinking about, how to deal with this, because whenever you lose people like we are going to lose, it is going to be a difficult situation for GPO. But I am confident that they will work with us and that we can recruit the people to replace them.

Senator Warner. I have got one more question, but I will go ahead and--well, you know, one of the--the Federal Depository Library Program has the requirement of safeguarding the public's right to know about what is going on in the Government, and, you know, the Obama administration has made transparency a hallmark of its administration.

Now, sometimes translating that transparency into reality has been a challenge, and some depository librarians feel that GPO is not meeting the needs of its users or adjusting to the increasing demands for digital access to Government information.

You know, what can the GPO do to work with the librarians to ensure that the Federal

Depository Library Program will really meet its goal of keeping Americans informed in this ever more transparent world?

Mr. Boarman. Well, I think the underlying problem can be spelled out in one sentence.

About 95 percent or more of all Federal documents are born digital today and will never end up in the Depository Library Program. And everything that we do that we put ink on paper can be created digitally as well.

The law, which originally, I think, dates to the early 1800s, was probably the first open Government law passed by the Congress, because it provided for this wonderful program of the Federal Depository Library Program where every library gets so many copies. The Senators can designate a regional library who get even more. But today I think their walls are bulging with the books, and they have no place to put them.

But the current law, which was passed in 1962 and has not been revisited, has to be looked at so that we can modernize it to deal with the fact that so many documents are born digitally. We cannot do that by ourselves. The Congress of the United States--it would have to be--I would assume it would have to be the House Administration and Senate Rules Committee would have to come up with some changes to the law to deal with that issue.

Now, I want to caution you. I do not think we ought to throw the baby out with the bath water. I think this program is one of the greatest programs that our country has. The Congress funds this thing, about \$40 million each year, to make sure that people are informed about what their Government does, and I certainly do not want to tinker with that. But I do think there are some things that we can do to make it easier on the libraries.

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

If I am confirmed, I will get together with the library community and try to hear them through, what they think would best work in this area. And then I think I would come to the Congress with some suggestions on how we can deal with it without messing around with the underlying tenets of this law that provides for this information. So that is another challenge, but I think the libraries and the GPO will work well together. They are a great stakeholder for us, and I look forward to working with them about this issue. Senator Warner. Well, I think if Senator Bennett does not have any other questions, that was my last question. I appreciate, Mr. Boarman, your testimony here today, and I think you will be a great Public Printer. Obviously, I think you bring a great and varied background, and I do think, you know, one of the challenges is going to be--since the GPO will be in a deficit circumstance, we are going to need that business approach to make sure that we can get the best value possible for the taxpayer, and clearly in an area that is going through dramatic transformation driven by technology and by the public's need to know. So I personally look forward to supporting your appointment, and I believe you have got a big job in front of you.

So on behalf of the Rules Committee, I would like to thank the nominee, Mr. Boarman, for his

testimony. The record will remain open for 5 business days for additional comments. The

Committee plans to consider this nomination in a timely manner so that the Senate can confirm

Mr. Boarman as the next Public Printer.

Since there is no further business before the Committee, the Committee is adjourned subject to the call of the Chair.

[Whereupon, at 10:53 a.m., the Committee was adjourned.]